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Abstract and Synopsis:

A sphere was discovered on the Acropolis. Its surface contained inscriptions of figures and geometrical patterns. Using the geometry of Platonic solids as the key, with the inspiration of the Muses, an interpretation has been rendered.

As interpreted, the sphere, which has been destroyed, contained a Socratic dialogue by Plato, entitled, "The Trisection", and was sculpted by Phidius. The participants were; Athena, Iris, Urania, Hermes, and Calliope; as well as: Socrates, Phidius, Zeno, Euclid, Aristotle, and Plato.

Plato has demonstrated the trisection of an angle into equal parts with compass and edge. Proofs of impossibility to the contrary are flawed. The demonstration extends to also show that all geometric constructions, including the axioms of geometry, require a countably infinite number of steps. All may be accomplished in exactly the same number of steps; for example, drawing a line between two points, bisecting an angle, trisecting an angle, squaring the circle, and any other repeatable and non trivial construction in plane geometry.

At the gist of Athena, self born and mythical creator of man imbued with Psyche, thru her power of aloeidea in Theoria, they reviewed all constructions and philosophies accross an eternal wave of time.
Forward:

As a youth, my attraction to science, was engrammatic, I was born with it in my blood, I could not—not think of it. It is the love of my life.

Then I learned that such a great aspiration, is a living conscious being. The Muse of Science, Urania, walked into my life, and nurtured my development. As a real woman, she is magnificent to see. Sometimes she dines with me as is told of others in mythical stories, and so I have a special sense that they did see and speak with her, as I surely did. She is inspiration personified.

One day, I chanced upon a writing, which was entitled, "The Queen of the Air". I read, "what finer magic is there than to imagine the clear blue of the air". It was an essay on admiring Athena, and I fell in love with her. I became determined and single minded to meditate upon her at her temple, the Parthenon on the Acropolis in Athens.

Only days later, a classical Greek patron of art and science, a man of great energy, intelligence, and will sought my opinion. By what seemed mere circumstance and rumor he had found his way to me.

It was his plan to render visible as best we could and by scientific methods, the tablet which delineated the alliance between Athens and Aegesta, and unambiguously date their affairs. The question was, would we see the name of the archon as an earlier Hebron, or Antiphon some forty years later, and change the accepted view of history during the time of Phidius, Socrates, Plato, Euclid, Zeno, and Aristotle.

The tablet resided in the museum in Athens. On our way we went. I was treated like a prince, and catered to in a palace near the Acropolis. While there, we chanced upon a sphere of marble lying in a dish near our work.

It had been found on the Acropolis. On it were many diagrams, and an androgynous figure with a trident. Some thought it Mythras. From the inspiration I knew she was Athena. No one at that time could interpret the diagrams and other figures on the sphere. It was regarded as more important than the tablet of Aegesta, as a mystery, devoid of known history to interpret. What clues could unravel the Gordian knot. The help of the Muses would be required.
To advance our search, we sought the help of the most illustrious scholars. From worldwide Academies they traveled to join the fun of science and history. We traveled for clues to the Athenian treasury at the temple of Apollo at the base of Mount Parnasis at Delphi.

There next to the treasury is the navel of the world, where the twin eagles meet to close the circle of time. I touched the navel, drank of the silken waters of the Oracle, and contemplated our puzzles.

We were successful solving a puzzle which was highlighted by one far greater in juxtaposition. We saw the letters in the name of Antiphon, which modified known history, and wondered about the meaning of the sphere.

The palace contained its own museum. There was a description of the disk of Pheastos. Its interpretation was based on a geometrical pattern, that the order of the figures, and their meaning were based on geometry.

On our return to Athens, I made notes on the sphere. Some were hired to make copies of the sphere. We toyed with copies designed to fit the surfaces of Platonic solids, as approximations to the sphere, but none were made. As it went, my notes were lost by our archivist, the sphere was accidentally destroyed, and other copies that were made are missing.

I felt that its proper interpretation would require the key of inspiration, the help of the Muses. It had been ordained by the Fates while protected by the Furies and encoded into the wave of time in that way.

While walking on the Acropolis I met a woman whom I immediately recognized as the epiphany of Athena herself. We walked together and discussed the geometry of the Acropolis and of the temple built to her by Phidius and the other Athenians of his time, the Parthenon.

In the flash of her eyes and afterwards in my dreams, and my daily thoughts, I turned to the contemplation of the Sphere, Platonic solids and the trisection.

After years of daydreaming, and I can still see the sphere, it has become apparent to me, that the geometrical patterns and figures tell a fine story.
Tritonia with her trident inspires the message of the first sector. The key is the love of geometry and understanding the dodekahedron. The facets resonate in threes, mirroring the story of Plato and the trisection.

"Let none enter without geometry" greeted a visitor to Plato's Academy in the grove of the hero, Academus, near Zeno's garden, and also the nearby Acropolis, which was dedicated to Athena, the Goddess of wisdom and victory, mythical creator of Man imbued with Psyche, and champion of hero's and Muses, in Athens.

As any former tyro knows, constructions in Euclidean geometry sometimes require more than one attempt to meet the rigors of ideal perfection, and a teacher intent on the student's expected success. My first nine point circle required practice before arcs coincided; and many ecstatic hours passed as my skills developed towards the perfection of ideal geometry.

For many, the conundrum of the trisection of an angle seemed elusive as it has been thru the ages. Yet also, it seemed simple to perform except for this or that rule. Having achieved it according to Euclid's axioms, the amazing realization is that all non-random constructions require exactly the same number of steps as the bisection of an angle.

With an analysis of Eulid's axioms, as described in the orb, it shall be apparent that a countable infinite number of steps are required in general for all Euclidean constructions, and thusly, all proofs of impossibility based on this are contrary to the fact.

Euclidian geometry as it is recorded, and there was more than one Euclid, has special sets of assumptions which as axioms are regarded as obvious and evident. For example, all constructions require the use of a compass and straight edge alone, the edge may not be marked to act as a ruler, and strangely, a stylus for drawing lines and making notes is implicitly allowed, yet not usually delineated.

Alas, we know the meaning of Euclidian geometry implicitly, the sense of the ideal. Explicit understanding requires the analysis of its basic statements. To the sphere.
Socrates stood at the foothills between two adjoining mountains. Their slopes intersected before him like the outstretched wings of a giant bird ready to loft into the blue sky above the mountains, home of the Queen of the air. Between them were two brilliant suns shining like eyes into his own. Their rays divided the gap into three equal parts. He thought, how perfect and beautiful, so simple and obvious. He became aware that he was dreaming, and wondered if his understanding of the vision would remain with him as he awakened.

As his eyes opened, the suns continued to flash into his eyes and mind. He blinked, half in his dream and half in his cell in Athens. The room was lit with the brightness of daylight from the twin suns flashing so brightly. He stared into them and they spoke a wonderment to him for earned respect and accomplishment. Today he will enjoy the company of his friends in satisfaction that they shall discover the revelation, Plato's Trisection.

The light from the twin suns diminished and became eyes of flashing awareness. Before him stood a tall and splendid lady in divine arraignments, the Goddess of wisdom and victory, the ultimate synthesis of these dualities, and the mythical creator of Man imbued with Psyche.

He stood up from his cot and realized he was in the cell of his confinement, although voluntary in a philosophical sense, yet near the end of life in his home and city of her namesake. His eyes watered at her beauty, his voice quivered and sang one of her many epithets by Homer; hial Athena Tritonia, brighter than light.

She spoke to him as one about to become immortal, her hero, admired by gods. Tomorrow, your ship is in. He swallowed and understood his test to truth was imminent. You will drink ambrosia and forever philosophize. The hemlock which your friends will see brought to you by the jailer, you are to know, will be a gift of the gods. There is no need to hesitate or wait a moment to taste life one iota longer, drink deeply the elixir. The appearance of the jailer will in fact really be Hebe with a cup for you. In Aloeidea, my ability to change what is seen and remembered, they who visit you to see you off, will see you pass over as a human death; but you will already be an immortal, and you will see them miss your human form.
Your students and friends will solve a puzzle which will delight you and others for all of time. In a sense they will understand the steps, while you shall see it done. Urania, the Great Muse of science, who loves you as I do, shall perform what is only otherwise possible for the Olympians to see, in Theoria.
The room began to glow with bands of colors, first pale pastels peeked in, they richened to streams of vibrant colors; red, blue, yellow, violet. The colors were clearly alive and intelligent, a synesthesia of awareness, flowing auras, a feminine rainbow became apparent. Another Olympian stood before Socrates, Iris of the rainbow and messenger. Like a column of light in the form of a young girl, she spoke with a shimmering and iridescent voice, akin to the breeze after a rain.

Dear Socrates, your great friends are here to speak with you, I now convey welcome to them, as they bring offerings to a hero, and they are surely anxious. All know this is your last full day as a mortal.
Plato entered the doorway, an arch with the keystone of three radiants. He wore a purple robe trimmed in golden threads, in the signs of geometry; triangles, pentagrams, stars and waves, squares and hexagons, parallel lines, dots and circles, and ancient signs. Beside him stood a young boy dressed in white with silver threads and chevrons.

They stood before a vast plane, at the foothills of two mountains on either side of twin suns, flashing into their eyes. Socrates stood from his philosophers bench and met them. Hail Athenians.

Mentor, we shall leave together, my ship is also in too. When I return, Aristotle here, presenting him, will be my prodigy. He will study physis, and see the holy moly, revelation of the Olympian Hermes and at the gist of Athena, Self Born, to Odysseus so that he could have the Olympian faculty of roots and whole.

Socrates invited them with a wave to his brow. See the solution to the puzzle! Ah, replied Plato, an aloeidea worthy of an Olympian in epyphany; I see Pallas, the bright eyes with her trident, helmet and shield; they divide the angle, and she is Mentor again. Athene, Anath, you build and destroy my mind, will I remember this satsang? I must of course in honor, otherwise my vision is hubris. The primal lightning of your eyes I'll dream.

Plato noticed they were standing in a Thalos, before him were Socrates and Athena, and he himself was standing under a high dome of Pentalic marble, while Pallas Athena stood tally between Ionian and Dorian columns. Behind him was the way he came through the cell door; he turned to look.
Plato, and Socrates, what place is this? Zeno asked. Do the Olympians play with us? Plato looked towards the entrance, yet he saw his friends enter between two columns of the thalos. They walked towards him, Zeno, Euclid, and Phidius. They were greeted by Socrates and Plato. The light of the twin suns flashed into their eyes, and they knew Athene was present in her epiphany.

They were excited to see Socrates and his student Plato, and amazed at the transformation of the cell into the vast plane about the thalos with the tall and splendid Athena presenting a mystery for their afternoon. Socrates told them to gaze at her and they would see her eyes split the angle. Zeno slapped his forehead with a whack which echoed under the dome, saying, I'm going to have a flat spot on my head if I continue to see visions as this. They all laughed including Athena, her amusement gladdened them like the haunting celestial music of the spheres. They beamed and frolicked like friends. It was a wonderment to them to see the twin suns split the angle between the mountains, while at the same time they admired the fine figure of Athena standing near them and radiating admiration for her heros, All of them.
Phidius said, I see the puzzle and the solution, but I have aphasia, I understand it in Tritonia's presence. We all know of the satsung effect, the understanding and awareness given to us in the presence of a master. In Athene's epiphany, all is clear, yet who can voice it?

Socrates spoke, she gives me the pleasure of telling you, it is the trisection of the angle, and the answer to all constructions in geometry, the path through all puzzles. We are in a special place. Our minds may rise to Olympus with her help, and we shall enjoy this afternoon.

Aristotle asked Plato, what is the nature of the puzzle, why is it important? I understand it here, but on Earth, do we lose our understanding, and is it difficult to all?

Euclid walked around the thalos, at the same time he gazed at the twin suns, the epiphany of Athena. They watched him ponder an answer. He bowed to Her. Then he spoke. It is an axiom that Phidius can draw a line between two points and sweep an arc about a point; he is the master architect of the Parthenon, invited home of our beloved Athena. As an ideal, a pure thought, the practical ability of Phidius has been construed to be a law of ideal geometry. With it some have said that there are constructions which cannot be performed, like the trisection of an angle, which Tritonia shows is easily done before us now.

The art of paradox is as a joke, said Zeno. What is argued to be impossible is possible another way.
A great understanding of the world grew in them, it personified beside Athena, her friend, and another self same self. Urania, Muse of Science, the use of Physis, Olympian faculty to see truly, she gazed, starry like in her appearance, the folds in her dress were the orbs of the spheres.

Urania opened her arms and pointed to the points of the world, with excitement and glee she addressed them, We are in Theoria, where all ideals exist to be seen by philosophers. Here I shall perform the Construction for you.

All constructions take exactly the same numbers of steps for completion, and the hint to understand this is that for each construction, there are infinities of variations, and they are all as one to one, the same.

My Heros, she said, like their mother, sister daughters; each, applauding, father, brother and sons; one life, one awareness, one whole. Behold All possibilities and impossibilities, and done.
Eternity passed in an instant. They swooned by the wave of time, and seated themselves to feel steady. Athena spoke; test your understanding now, carry on your discourse and convert the eternal to human knowledge.

Aristotle asked; why is the world the way it is, and what is the meaning of life?

They found themselves looking at two Olympians, as if they were awaking from the distraction of a daydream.

Hermes said; traveling the waves of time across the Samsara, it is possible to observe All variations of worlds and lives. All is composed of all variations. The Trismagistus continued: know that; Physics is the study of the relationships between observations made by observers, and then raised his flute in anticipation.

Calliope, her voice like music, sang:

All is illusion,
All life and strife
Are but enthralling delusions.
The waves of time
Flow beyond my vision,
And lost between each crest
I think my way is mine.
Gibbous moons wax to wane,
As all moons, the same.
If one, then all rise lusterously,
And illuminate,
Any farther than another?
One endures, and the other
Has all hours not.
One may decline to attest
One tacit assertion,
That what we perceive
And think is or is best.
No time or space avow
Nor allow
Duration one division.

Socrates posed a question; what is justice? Phidius replied, the art and sculpting, the architecture of it is clear now; each life lives all lives; by the wave of time; the doer is done; any difference is the result of a limited mind and understanding; they are the same.
Socrates posed another puzzlement; what is a limit, are there any limits? They looked at young Aristotle, sensing he would reply. He felt their attention, like a priest gleaning the power of a group, his awareness expanded, he knew aliken to the gift from Urania. He replied; show me a limit, and I'll show you a belief that can be changed.

Plato looked at Urania and thought the question, How long will this ability of ours remain with us, that we will be able to ponder the jewels of philosophy? His thoughts echoed under the dome of the thalos, they all knew that they were thinking the same question. Urania replied with a thought;

I am the breath of life  
Who flows throu your dreams,  
Clear air you must gasp now,  
Else I drift away.

He mused again, we should be busy philosophers now. Again a thought rang throu them;

Eagles set their talons with taught tendons,  
On windy heights their eyes on distant sights,  
Beyond the range of lower life and strife.

He wondered again, and when we are our normal selves again. The Muse inspired them;

The corridors of memory  
Have doors to veiled mysteries  
Where fantasies of yesterday  
May borrow on tomorrow.
Plato spoke. My ship too is in tomorrow, I depart also as does Socrates, my mentor and tutor. I see it all in this aloeidea posed by our Olympian, Athena. My student, Aristotle here, will be a grown man on my return. Next I see him and you my fellow students, I shall found my school. As here now we experience the satsung of the Olympians, their excellence and virtue, so also shall those who come to me for learning. On the honored grave of our hero, Academus, where the grove of trees align with the mountains that are split by the twin suns of our beloved and shinning Athena, I shall build my Academy. There, on arches high, for all to see, to aspire to excellence, shall be: "Enter all with geometry."

And the Academy shall be added to the Parapatetics, as purveyors of excellence. The beauty of the trisection we now understand shall be taught, it will be a secret amongst idiots, a jewel on the path for those who seek the path on the way of the enlightened.
To the trisection, we have seen it and all other constructions, said Socrates. Why is it thought to be impossible amongst some, what is the nature of the confusion, what is the flaw in the proof of impossibility, why is it actually possible and so simple at the heart of it all?

Phidius, took charge; Zeno, you can explain the flaw, some typical way that these pitfalls occur and can be avoided. To which Zeno smiled and assented.

Euclid, you can explain the misunderstanding, which is the affect, in effect, that is responsible for the line of thought that some take concerning the ideal axioms of geometry. Euclidean beamed as he liked to shine, nodding vigorously.

Plato, Phidius addressed. For your Academy to be in the favor of Academus, where to enter, the key is geometry; you shall explain the ideal of the construction. For Socrates passday, and our next generation in Aristotle, in deference to our fine teachers, Urania, and Athena and the Olympians who have shown us all of eternity in an instant, before we fade to our illustrious selves, hial.

They beamed and nodded, fading not at all, ready to begin their discourse. Socrates, what shall we name this episode? To Us all, Athena, Urania, Phidius, Zeno, Euclid, Aristotle, Plato; who can deny it, we have seen the wave of time? It is Plato who will teach and write of us, said Athene. So as the wave waxes across Plato's Trisection, Phidius shall sculpt it on the surface of a sphere.

Phidius slowly turned towards Socrates, they all looked at him, and he was happily smiling, how say you gadfly, do we have a plan which you approve to embellish geometry, and wreak havoc amongst idiots for all time?

The thalos echoed another eternity of laughter and exuberance. Socrates ... brilliant discourse, and slapped his knees. He walked towards Plato, who leaned back, knowing the master's pranks, grabbed Plato's head in an armlock, and scruffed his hair with his knuckles until Plato's face was in red contrast to his purple robe. They laughed.
Zeno stood, took a step and continued, walking about the thalos, it was his habit to talk while in motion. I shall explain it this way. A proof by contradiction is dubious. It begins by assuming the proof is possible, the obvious assumption; it proceeds to a typical step in the proof, where, a tacit assumption is made, the un-noticed assumption; in the case of the assumption, that the process of steps will terminate and not repeat the typical step; but it does repeat. The prover states, aha, here again, so it is not true; therefore the assumption that it could be proved is incorrect, yet the un-noticed tacit assumption is forgotten, that the typical step would not repeat, and it has.

The flaw in the proof of impossibility, is forgetting the tacit assumption that a typical step would not repeat, when it does repeat, that is merely another step in the construction. For example, it could be supposed that there would be a last step in the construction, such as, a last root or myriad.

So an ongoing set of steps will work, but the proof of impossibility denies this truth. An iteration works. Note, there were two assumptions, but one was chosen arbitrarily to be denied, while the other is thought to be the truth. It is impossible so it is impossible because of iteration, or it is possible and possible by iteration.

Allow me to elaborate. Consider an archer with a bow and arrow having taken aim on a practical target, the arrow flies. Consider the sequence of iterations in our consciousness, similar to the proof by contradiction. Let us note the position of the arrow when it has traveled half way towards the target, it has halfway to go again. As a mental marker, this is a typical step. Note again that the arrow continues on its way, and again, note it is at a position that again is half way closer again to the target, another typical step. With our method of proof by contradiction, we prove easily, by ignoring the success of the iteration process, that the arrow never arrives. It seems to be a paradox.
Here, here, clapping his hands, applause, applause said Phidious, well spoken. What say you Socrates, dub this Zeno's Paradox? Socrates strides toward Zeno. Zeno hastened to walk around a column, but Socrates was on him in a flash, wrestled him to the floor, and had his head in an arm lock. Like Plato, Socrates scruffed Zeno's head with his bare knuckles until his face was red, and then released him laughing, all of them. Zeno said, perhaps the mob voted you drink the hemlock for one reason only. Socrates said in reply to Zeno's remark, you have the gift of clarity like a boy, and you shall be known for all time, and it is fun playing with you.
As Zeno had Socrates attention, who was an accomplished soldier and wrestler, Phidius a practiced sculptor in marble, was younger, larger and stronger than Socrates, stood behind him, wrapped his great arms around the teacher, grabbed him below the chest, and lifted him above the floor. As Socrates was a large man, under the stress, the face of Phidius also turned deep red. Zeno fit from his many walks, picked up Socrates legs and pulled on them. There was Socrates immobilized, horizontal above the thalos floor and supported by two friends wondering what prank they would return on him. Plato and Aristotle quickly grabbed his arms on either side, and he was disabled thoroughly. Euclid did the honors and scruffed Socrates bald head with his knuckles until he was red atop. They set Socrates down gently on the floor and all laughed. Euclid too turned red in the face, all in hysteria.

Socrates stood up and said to Zeno, an attempt then to make a task appear to be impossible is to merely show it may require indefinite iteration? An unbounded number of steps only seems to be a reason if some constructions do not require this. Euclid, what is the case in truth?
Euclid looked at the tall and splendid lady Athena, great Goddess of the Olympians he admired, and her other aspect, the Muse Urania, who inspired his intellect and understanding.

The answer is the same, he spoke, whether practical or ideal, it is possible.

It is an axiom that Phidius can draw a line between two points on the Acropolis. When our Phidius, sets a compass, or scribes a line or arc with his instruments, all error is within the width of the scribe. Whatever stykommetry is performed, however the numerals are drawn or measured, they are perfect. The error is less than the width of a line, and within a dot. If by iteration, he chooses, whatever method, the outcome is the same, the construction by this master is.

Socrates asked, practical geometry as performed by our master architect, Phidius, has no error greater than the width of the line, and so is possible because it is demonstrated by him. Euclid nodded, Plato noted, Aristotle squirmed on his seat. Euclid added, this is an example of success by iteration. In unison, hmmm.
Euclid looked across the thalos at the Muse, Urania, and blinked at the tall and splendid Athena, as her eyes as twin suns split the angle between the mountain slopes beyond the plain.

In the vane of rigor, I have composed some theorems and a corollary to set the stage for Plato's expostulation. Allow me this preamble, some other Euclid some day may write all this down for those of millennia to follow, and they will think the axioms are steps. The axioms are milestones, not to be confused with steps as some have missed, we know from our own experience, and also from our tour in the wave of time.
Theorem 1:

Given two points in a plane, p1 and p2. Given a compass with its pivot at p1.

Construct a path of pivot locations from p1 to p2.

Such a construction requires an algorithm to move the pivot using elements of search and approach.

Unless by fortuitous chance, it is vanishingly improbable that the approach from p1 to p2 can be accomplished in an ideally single or finite number of steps.

Therefore the construction of the approach of the compass pivot from p1 to p2 is actually likely to require an infinite number of steps.

Theorem 2:

Given four points in a plane, p1, p2, p3, and p4. Given a straight edge through p1 and p2.

Construct a path of straight edge locations from the edge through p1 and p2 to the edge through p3 and p4.

Such a construction requires an algorithm to move the edge using elements of search and approach.

Unless by fortuitous chance, it is vanishingly improbable that the approach from p1 and p2 to p3 and p4 can be accomplished in an ideally single or finite number of steps.

Therefore the construction of the approach of the edge from p1 and p2 to p3 and p4 is actually likely to require an infinite number of steps.

Corollary 1

Given a line and a compass pivot which is not on the line.

Moving the compass pivot to a point on the line is equivalent to moving the pivot to another point; and therefore by Theorem 1 requires an infinite number of steps.
Theorem 3

The bisection of an angle requires an infinite number of steps.

Proof:

Given two intersecting rays, r1 and r2 with their intersection at a point p0.

Placing a compass pivot at p0 to sweep an intersecting arc at points p1 and p2 on rays r1 and r2 respectively by Theorem 1 requires an infinite number of steps.

Placing the compass pivot at the points p1 and p2 to sweep intersecting arcs at p3 by Theorem 1 each require an infinite number of steps.

Placing a straight edge at both p0 and p3 to scribe the bisection of the angle α12 by Theorem 2 each require an infinite number of steps.

Conclusion: a bisection of a given angle with compass and straight edge requires an infinite number of steps.
Socrates scratched his head and appeared puzzled at what Euclid had said. Euclid waited for his questions. Socrates began. In practical geometry, a point is a dot with a diameter which is non trivial. Also, a line then as drawn by Phidius on his abacus is a canyon which a mite could traverse. Am I understanding this? Euclid and all nodded, neh.

He continued, seeming uncertain. In theory, we have an ideal geometry. A point has no diameter, a line has no thickness. The same is true for an ideal compass or edge. Any attempt to approach them, a point or a line with an ideal compass or edge in one step is doomed to failure. It seems like a task for Sysiphus. Do they ever meet?

He turned towards Plato, will you explain this to me? Urania has done it, by the wave of time, and I am still a man; may the student surpass the teacher.
Plato looked at his teachers and friends, the Olympians, and the boy; he stood and took a breath; and said. The axioms are milestones, and each requires an eternity of steps to perform a construction in our ideal and theoretical geometry.

Zeno's Paradox serves a two fold function in our analysis of this neumenon, a clear light that we may see the way, and understand how all constructions may be treated in a uniform and similar way. In addition as the other side of the blade of two edges; by this method, we shall see what Urania has demonstrated in a way that is understandable to us as mere Athenians; and that is that, all constructions require exactly the same number of steps. I acknowledge that an arbitrary and extemporaneous construction may require any number of steps; but to reproduce it, this requires the same number of steps as the bisection or trisection of an angle, the squaring of a circle, and as for all others, both known and unknown.

Zeno's arrow travels a whole distance which may be decomposed into steps. The distance the arrow does travel is one whole arrow flight. It is composed of myriads of steps; first an half, then another fourth, to this an eighth, and so on. Note that these are myriads of an half. Note also that one is the sum of myriads of an half.

These myriads can be constructed from the unity of the whole. Any number less than one may be constructed in this way, as a sum of some and not others of myriads of an half.

Consider a third, it is the sum of a fourth plus a sixteenth, and so on. This is to say that fourths are myriads of halves, and a third is composed of myriads of fourths.

When this notion is extended to twos, we may note that numbers larger than one may be composed of myriads of twos. Three is one plus two, five is four plus one, and so on. We use one as a zeroth myriad of two.

Our transcendental Pi may be written this way also; it is two plus one plus an eighth and so on. Any number may be written this way.
Each construction will begin with some base angle or some base length, our beginning unity; from them, all other lengths and angles may be constructed by the process of adding together the corresponding myriads of two and also an half.

The trisection of an angle into three equal parts is accomplished by supposing it is our unity and using the method of myriads. The trisected angle is the sum of myriads of fourths of our basic unity, which as you now understand are myriads of halves.
Socrates jumped up from his seat and said, I understand it. What is not, is. You mention Pi, and then you do not mention the square root of Pi. By this method the square root of Pi may be constructed. It is one plus an half plus a fourth and so on. He elaborated, while it is with me, the circle of unit radius has an area of Pi, while a square of an edge which is the root of Pi has the same area. As we can construct the root using the method of myriads of halves and twos with the base of the unit radius, we can square the circle, simple.

Plato smiled in assent. Aristotle hoped he would grow to understand this, Zeno added; the whole is equal to the sum of its parts. Phidius added, practical geometry is much simpler. Euclid remarked, and you say they are the same. Socrates pretended to grab Euclid’s head in and arm lock. They laughed. Euclid continued, now show us how they are the same. Zeno added this is too simple. Aristotle crossed his arms and legs. Euclid remarked that this was a subtle point for him. Socrates laughed and choked, I love a sophistocratic conundrum. Continue.

Urania was beautiful, thought Aristotle, and to his surprise she looked directly at him. She seemed the epitome of grace and intelligence, an inspiration, yet he desired to be with her. She smiled at him and began to walk slowly towards him, like waves of curvaceous flesh, she flowed to him. Soon as if an instant passed, she was seating herself beside him. He looked into her eyes and smiled. He noticed her fingers were tickling his scalp as she twirled locks in his hair and gently scratched his head. He felt himself flush, and like the others had, his face turned red. They watched him as a young man interested in geometry become aroused by the Muse; they felt her Eternal Love and Companionship, yet as men they laughed also. He did too at the kind attention and joke of the Muse. ... She is Science...
Plato considered their seats one after another and formulated his dissertation. He spoke. Consider the eternal Agora with a place for all, places each. Number them one by one as they are countable and progress as the integers to eternity; one-1, two-2, three-3 .. and so on.

Let all places be filled to capacity, in analogy to the number of steps required to place a compass pivot on a required point. Suppose an ongoing entourage of visitors seeking to be guests arrive seeking places to stay in the eternal Agora. Where shall they stay, as all places are filled? They are the placing of the scribe of the compass to be placed on the chosen point to sweep an arc of some required radius which is the distance between the two points. The Agora is filled from one-1, two-2, ..., to "n", as "n" ranges from one to eternity.

The answer is a property of countability, the places are denumerable. Ask each current guest to note the number of his place, it is some number 'n', from one onward. Ask them all to move from their numbered slot, one or two or "n", to a numbered place with a number which is twice as large. This means they will shift their positions affably from one, two, three.. to two, four, six and so on to '2xn', as n ranges from one to eternity.

This is as the placing of the compass scribe onto the designated point of the radius, an eternity of steps as the eternity of guests. With more guests arriving, the former guests shift their places making places for all. The new guests take the slots which are numbered in between, one-1, three-3, 5-five an so on to "2n-1 as 'n' ranges again from one to eternity.

Now by Zeus the task is completed, the new guests and travelers are accommodated in the eternal Agora. All places are filled again, exactly the same number as before; and also in analogy, the steps to place the compass pivot on the point, are now equal to the number of steps to place the compass pivot on the point, and also the scribe on the point of the radius. As before, the places in the Eternal Agora are numbered one-1, two-2, to "n", and are filled with exactly the same number of guests again. This amazing feat is a property of countability into eternity.
Extend this idea to each milestone of any construction, and their total numbers of steps. They finally and only fill the seats in the Eternal Agora as before and always; one-1, two-2, ... "n"; from one to eternity, all the same.

While the axioms themselves require an eternity of steps, they nevertheless require only and exactly the same number of steps. Each construction for each and every step by the axioms, no matter the method whether or not by eternal iteration and the use of myriads, still has the same number of steps to reproduce from our catalogue of constructions, both known and unknown.

It is now understandable that the axioms require the same number of steps to perform them each in the ideal geometry. Also, by the understanding of these processes it is apparent that the bisection of an angle is included. The method of myriads is also incorporated as having an equal number of steps for all constructions, though of different milestones. So the trisection is equal to the bisection. Both are possible for the same reasons.

To attempt to prove any one to be impossible is to deny all, a fait contrary to excellence. Consider the ideal neumena, below are the practical phenomena, like shadows in a cave.

All constructions are possible and may be accomplished in the same number of steps.

Socrates pondered the revelations and discussions of the day. He spoke, I see our old friend, the question; how do we or any one come to a realization or apprehension of a proposition before us?

Recall the seer, who from the family line of Anania, and as a teacher of Ananism, presented the third choice over the two of authorities and rules; namely, ones own faculties and abilities of interpretation, and he noted:

Seeing glows
Hearing sings
Feeling caresses
Awareness knows
Socrates spoke, it seems that the inspiration of our Olympian benefactors has lead us to the understanding of eternity and geometry. Young Aristotle demonstrated deep insight under their influence, the satsung effect. With the plan proposed by Phydius, Euclid, Zeno and Plato, looking at each and waving his arms to embrace their dignities, have explained each step of the plan.

We now have human knowledge which may be spoken and written, for those who may and can understand. How shall it be?

Plato spoke, for some this level of understanding is manifold. Some will feel headaches and become hostile, some will sophistrize and abuse youth and elders with knowledge as a weapon, some may arrive to it by the Muses as we have. How shall we pass on our benefit?

Athena spoke. Plato shall write our tale, Phidius shall sculpt the tale of our ideal geometrical truths on a sphere, they shall be encrypted with the seal of the dodekahedron, and be revealed with the key of the Muse. Let them who love science and long for the presence of the Muse pass by my image and then they shall have the introduction to eternity.
They swooned and felt their understanding and intellect retreat across the plains towards Olympus. Socrates and all turned towards Athena, they realized that Urania had departed. Still with Athena in her epiphany, they had the feelings of confidence and invincibility, that their knowledge would be a benefit.

As if it had been there in the thalos, and while they naturally and happily were hungry, they discovered and joined in a feast laid out before them. The mental activity had used their energies, with the hunger of eternities without food for their bodies, they relished the feast, a Dyonisian event.

Like Nestor and Telemichus before them in another era, they dined with the Olympic Athena, carried on discourse, and bathed in her eternal brightness.

Socrates thought, if any regarded mortal life as a limit, we have all lived eternities here in Theoria; the thought echoed in their minds under the thalos.

Twin suns split the angle between the mountains.